



City of Ceres • City of Hughson • City of Modesto • City of Newman • City of Oakdale • City of Patterson
City of Riverbank • City of Turlock • City of Waterford • County of Stanislaus

NEXT MEETING OF THE:

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

**APRIL 6, 2010 • 10:00 A.M.
STANCOG BOARD ROOM
1111 I STREET, SUITE 308
MODESTO, CA**

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

**APRIL 7, 2010 • 6:00 P.M.
STANCOG BOARD ROOM
1111 I STREET, SUITE 308
MODESTO, CA**

MANAGEMENT & FINANCE COMMITTEE

**APRIL 8, 2010 • 3:00 P.M.
STANCOG BOARD ROOM
1111 I STREET, SUITE 308
MODESTO, CA**

Committee Agendas and Minutes: Committee agendas, minutes and copies of items to be considered by the StanCOG Committees are available at least 72 hours prior to the meeting at the StanCOG offices located at 1111 "I" Street, Suite 308, Modesto, CA during normal business hours. The documents are also available on StanCOG's website at www.stancog.org/committees.shtm.

Materials related to an item on this Agenda submitted to the Committee after distribution of the agenda packet are available for public inspection at the address listed above during normal business hours. These documents are also available on StanCOG's website, subject to staff's ability to post the documents before the meeting.

Public Comment Period: Matters under the jurisdiction of the Committee, and not on the posted agenda, may be addressed by the general public at the beginning of the regular agenda and any off-agenda matters before the Committee for consideration. However, California law prohibits the Committee from taking action on any matter which is not on the posted agenda unless it is determined to be an emergency by the Committee. Any member of the public wishing to address the Committee during the "Public Comment" period will be limited to 5 minutes unless the Chair of the Committee grants a longer period of time.

Public Participation on a Matter on the Agenda: Please step to the podium at the time the agenda item is announced by the Chairperson. In order to ensure that interested parties have an opportunity to speak, any person addressing the Committee will be limited to a maximum of 5 minutes unless the Chair of the Committee grants a longer period of time.

Reasonable Accommodations: This Agenda shall be made available upon request in appropriate alternative formats to persons with a disability, as required by the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and the Ralph M. Brown Act (California Government Code § 54954.2). Persons requesting a disability related modification or accommodation in order to participate in the meeting should contact Cindy Malekos at (209) 525-4600 during regular business hours at least 72 hours prior to the time of the meeting to enable StanCOG to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting.

Notice Regarding Non-English Speakers: StanCOG Committee meetings are conducted in English and translations to other languages is not provided. Anyone wishing to address the Committee is advised to have an interpreter or to contact Cindy Malekos at (209) 525-4600 during regular business hours at least 72 hours prior to the time of the meeting so that StanCOG can provide an interpreter.

AGENDA

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. ROLL CALL

3. PUBLIC COMMENTS

These matters may be presented only by interested persons in the audience. Discussion is limited to five minutes or at the discretion of the Chair.

4. CONSENT CALENDAR

- A. Approval of Citizens Advisory Committee Minutes of 3/3/10 (by Citizens Advisory Committee only April 7, 2010)
- B. FY 2008/09 Local Transportation Fund Non-Transit Claim: City of Oakdale

5. PRESENTATIONS

6. PUBLIC HEARINGS

7. DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

- A. Motion to Amend FY 09/10 Budget and Overall Work Program (OWP) Amendment #3
- B. Motion to Recommend for Approval of a Proposition 84 Grant Application
- C. Discussion Methodology used to Allocate Local Transportation Funds (LTF) for Street and Road Purposes

8. INFORMATION ITEMS

The following items are for information only.

- A. Social Services Transportation Advisory Council (SSTAC) Minutes of 3/2/10
- B. 2011 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), RTP Environmental Impact Report (EIR) and Regional Expressway Study (RED) Update
- C. Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) FFY 2009/10 Monthly Project Status Report
- D. Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP) FFY 2009/10 Monthly Project Status Report
- E. Update - State Route 132 West Freeway / Expressway Project
- F. 2011 FTIP Development Schedule
- G. Blueprint Update – Senate Bill 391 (SB 391)/California Interregional Blueprint

9. CALTRANS REPORT

10. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

11. ADJOURNMENT

Next Regularly Scheduled Meetings:

Technical Advisory Committee

May 4, 2010 @ 10:00 AM
StanCOG Board Room
1111 I Street, Suite 308
Modesto, CA

Citizens Advisory Committee

May 5, 2010 @ 6:00 PM
StanCOG Board Room
1111 I Street, Suite 308
Modesto, CA

Management & Finance Committee

May 6, 2010 @ 3:00 PM
StanCOG Board Room
1111 I Street, Suite 308
Modesto, CA



CONSENT CALENDAR



**CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE (CAC) MEETING
StanCOG Board Room
1111 I Street, Suite 308
Modesto, California**

Minutes of March 3, 2010

MEMBERS PRESENT: Chair Stephen BonRepos; Bill Axtell; Gil Bostwick; Jeff Lustgarten;

ALSO PRESENT: Cindy Malekos, Carlos Yamzon

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Stephen Bonrepos called the Citizens Advisory Committee meeting to order at 6:02 P.M

2. ROLL CALL

3. PUBLIC COMMENTS--None

4. CONSENT CALENDAR

A. Approval of Citizens Advisory Committee Minutes of 2/3/10

B. Approval of FY 2008/09 Local Transportation Fund Non-Transit Claim: City of Riverbank Supplemental

***By Motion (Bill Axtell/Jeff Lustgarten)**, and unanimous vote, the Citizens Advisory Committee recommended that the Policy Board approve the Consent Calendar.

5. PRESENTATIONS-None

6. PUBLIC HEARINGS-None

7. DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

A. Motion to Approve Methodology for the Distribution of Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality(CMAQ) Funds for FFY 2012/13-2013/14

Carlos Yamzon gave a brief report on the methodology for distribution of CMAQ funds. Carlos went over the breakdown of the methodology.

***By Motion (Jeff Lustgarten/Gil Bostwick)**, and unanimous vote, the Citizens Advisory Committee recommended that the Policy Board approve the Methodology for the Distribution of Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) Funds for FFY 2012/13-2013/14.

B. Motion to Approve Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) Program Cycle FFY 2012/13-2013/14 Call for Projects Application Packet March 2010

Carlos Yamzon reported that changes had been made to the timeline in that the projects listed were intended to be programmed into the 2011 FTIP and not the 2015 FTIP.

***By Motion (Jeff Lustgarten/Bill Axtell)**, and unanimous vote, the Citizens Advisory Committee recommended that the Policy Board approve the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) Program Cycle FFY 2012/13-2013/14 Call for Projects Application Packet March 2010.

C. Motion to Direct Staff to Prepare the Draft FY 2010/11 Overall Work Program and Budget Concept Report

Carlos Yamzon briefly went over the process of the preparation of the Overall Work Program and Budget. Carlos discussed the three new emphasis areas that will now be addressed in StanCOG's FY 2010/11 OWP. Carlos also mentioned the two attachments that show the sources of funding we have for our program.

***By Motion (Gil Bostwick/Jeff Lustgarten)**, and unanimous vote, the Citizens Advisory Committee recommended that the Policy Board Direct Staff to Prepare the Draft FY 2010/11 Overall Work Program and Budget Concept Report.

D. Motion to Adopt Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP) FFY 2010/11-2013/14 Allocation Alternative (Resubmittal)

Carlos Yamzon discussed the methodologies being presented for the RSTP. Carlos briefly went over the staff report and the three options being presented. After discussion of the various methodologies, the Citizens Advisory Committee motioned to support of Methodology #3.

***By Motion (Jeff Lustgarten/Bill Axtell)**, and unanimous vote, the Citizens Advisory Committee recommended that the Policy Board adopt the Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP) FFY 2010/11-2013/14 Allocation Alternative (Resubmittal) in support of Methodology #3.

E. Motion to Adopt the Updated StanCOG Transportation Model

Carlos Yamzon briefly discussed the updates to the Model to use in the update of 2011 RTP. Carlos specifically mentioned the increase in numbers on traffic analysis zones. CAC members questioned the time period of when the actual counts take place. The CAC suggested that the time period be of a 2-hour window and not a 1-hour window.

***By Motion (Gil Bostwick/Jeff Lustgarten)**, and unanimous vote, the Citizens Advisory Committee recommended that the Policy Board adopt the Updated StanCOG Transportation Model.

F. Motion to Approve Environmental Justice Planning Grant Application for an Equity Analysis Report for Low-Income and Minority Communities

Carlos Yamzon gave a brief overview of the Environmental Justice Planning Grant and its importance to the underserved.

***By Motion (Gil Bostwick, Jeff Lustgarten)**, and unanimous vote, the Citizens Advisory Committee recommended that the Policy Board approve the Environmental Justice Planning Grant Application for an Equity Analysis Report for Low-Income and Minority Communities.

G. Motion to Adopt Amendment #19 to the 2009 Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) – Type 3 Formal Amendment

Carlos Yamzon mentioned that this is a quarterly FTIP amendment and briefly went over the amendments in the staff report.

***By Motion (Jeff Lustgarten/Bill Axtell)**, and unanimous vote, the Citizens Advisory Committee recommended that the Policy Board adopt Amendment #19 to the 2009 Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) – Type 3 Formal Amendment.

8. INFORMATION ITEMS

- A. Policy Board Minutes of 2/17/10
- B. Social Services Transportation Advisory Council (SSTAC) Minutes of 2/2/10
- C. 2011 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), RTP Environmental Impact Report (EIR) and Regional Expressway Study (RED) Update
- D. Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) FFY 2009/10 Monthly Project Status Report
- E. Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP) FFY 2009/10 Monthly Project Status Report
- F. Blueprint Update
- G. Goods Movement Task Force Update
- H. Jobs for Main Street Act of 2010 Update
- I. Amendment #18 to the 2009 Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) – Type 1 Administrative Amendment

9. CALTRANS REPORT-None


10. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT-None

11. ADJOURNMENT

Chair Stephen Bonrepos adjourned the meeting at 6:54 pm.

Next scheduled Citizens Advisory Committee Meeting:

**April 7, 2010 @ 6:00 PM
StanCOG Board Room
1111 I Street, Suite 308
Modesto, CA**

Signed 
Minutes prepared by Debbie Molloy, Administrative Technician



Item 4B

City of Ceres • City of Hughson • City of Modesto • City of Newman • City of Oakdale • City of Patterson
City of Riverbank • City of Turlock • City of Waterford • County of Stanislaus

TO: Management and Finance Committee
Citizens Advisory Committee
Technical Advisory Committee

STAFF REPORT
Motion

THROUGH: Vince Harris, Executive Director

bt
vc

FROM: Vince Canales, Finance Director
Robin Whitehead, Budget & Grants Coordinator

RW

DATE: March 26, 2010

SUBJECT: FY 2008/09 Local Transportation Fund Non-Transit Claim: City of Oakdale

Recommendation

By **MOTION**, based on the above findings and comments, recommend that the Policy Board approve the City of Oakdale's claim as submitted.

Background

The claimant has submitted a claim for Local Transportation Funds (LTF) as follows:

<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>2008/09 Claim</u>
Nonmotorized	LTF-99234	\$ 19,243
Streets	LTF-99400a	<u>183,052</u>
		\$ 202,295

The claim has been checked and determined to be complete. The claimant has submitted all required audits and reports. The audit for FY 2006/07 contains no audit recommendations.

The rules governing the LTF require the adopting resolution to contain specific findings prior to any LTF allocations. The findings are as follows:

Required Findings

- (1) The claimant's proposed expenditures are in conformity with the Regional Transportation Plan.
- (2) The sum of the claimant's allocations from the Local Transportation Fund does not exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year.
- (3) There are no unmet transit needs which are reasonable to meet within the jurisdiction.

vcanales@stancog.org / rwhitehead@stancog.org
f:c/5205 2008/09
v:\stancog\robin\tda08-09\Oakdale claim to committees 08-09



DISCUSSION & ACTION ITEMS



Item 7A

City of Ceres • City of Hughson • City of Modesto • City of Newman • City of Oakdale • City of Patterson
City of Riverbank • City of Turlock • City of Waterford • County of Stanislaus

TO: Management and Finance Committee
Citizens Advisory Committee
Technical Advisory Committee **Staff Report**
Motion

THROUGH: Vince Harris, Executive Director *VH*

FROM: Vince Canales, Finance Director *VC*

DATE: March 29, 2010

SUBJECT: FY 09/10 Budget and Overall Work Program (OWP) Amendment #3

Recommendation

By Motion:

Recommend that the Policy Board, by Resolution:

1. Amend the General Fund Line Item Expenditure budget as presented in Schedule 1
2. Amend the General Fund Line Item Revenue budget as presented in Schedule 2
3. Amend the Overall Work Program as presented in Table A

Background

As we are in the fourth quarter of FY 2009/10, there is a need to amend the current Budget and Overall Work Program (OWP). This is the final opportunity to shift funds for the current fiscal year; therefore, this is a comprehensive budget adjustment.

Discussion

Line Item Expenditure Detail Schedule 1

This amendment decreases the budget by \$25,140. All budget changes over \$5,000 have been noted with an explanation of the face of the schedule.

Schedule 2 Line Item Revenue Detail

Schedule 2 reflects the reduction in associated revenue of \$25,140. The largest decrease occurs in the revenue line-item for Local Transportation Fund (LTF) Planning. The decrease of \$50,799 reflects the downward shift in estimated LTF sales tax revenue to be realized by fiscal year end.

Overall Work Program Table A

Table A adjusts the OWP work elements for the proposed changes to the line-item budget

vcanales@stancog.org
vharris@stancog.org

**STANISLAUS COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
LINE ITEM BUDGET POLICY BOARD AMENDMENT #3
FY 2009/10**

SCHEDULE #1

Acct	Description	Amend # 2 FY 2009/10	Amend # 3 FY 2009/10	Change	Notes
50000	Salaries and Benefits	1,612,273	1,612,273	0	
	Other Post Employment Benefits	1,600	1,600	0	
	TOTAL SALARIES, BENEFITS & OPEB	1,613,873	1,613,873	0	
60000/	SERVICES AND SUPPLIES				
70000	Administrative Services	11,165	10,165	(1,000)	
	Communications	20,224	20,224	0	
	Conferences, Seminars & Travel	49,188	49,188	0	
	County Departmental Costs Allocated	13,250	13,250	0	
	Data Processing Services	26,040	26,040	0	
	Equipment Maintenance	1,500	1,500	0	
	Equipment Purchase	27,700	33,400	5,700	Additional Software and Furniture Appropriations Requested
	Structure and Improvements	4,000	4,617	617	
	Equipment Rents and Leases	22,604	22,604	0	
	Insurance	20,000	16,600	(3,400)	
	Memberships	11,050	11,050	0	
	Office Expense	28,500	27,883	(617)	
	Postage/Mail Services	4,380	4,380	0	
	Printing	26,760	26,760	0	
	Publications/Legal Notices	15,650	15,650	0	
	Structures and Grounds - Maintenance	2,110	2,110	0	
	Structures and Grounds - Rents and Leases	89,285	93,845	4,560	Additional cost for parking spaces
	Utilities	12,000	12,000	0	
	Interest on City of Modesto Loan	0	0	0	
	TOTAL SERVICES AND SUPPLIES	385,406	391,266	5,860	
81000	EQUIPMENT - FIXED ASSETS				
		33,356	33,356	0	Assets over \$5,000: Conference Room Audio System, \$4,356; Two modeling software licenses, \$23,000; Record Management Database Software \$6,000
63280	CONTRACTS				
	Area wide Ridesharing Services	170,000	170,000	0	
	Blueprint Grant	78,360	78,360	0	
	Carl Anderson Air Quality Services - SIP Amend	0	0	0	
	Congestion Management Program Update	0	0	0	
	Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Consultant	2,500	2,500	0	
	Financial Audit & related svcs.	30,500	30,500	0	
	Triennial Performance Audits	50,000	50,000	0	
	Public Involvement Consultant	20,000	20,000	0	
	Professional Recruitment Services	15,000	15,000	0	
	Web Design Consultant	3,000	3,000	0	
	Highway J59 Concept Study	6,000	6,000	0	
	Legal Counsel	120,000	120,000	0	
	Nonmotorized Master Plan	0	0	0	
	Office Space Reconfiguration	0	0	0	
	Plan Implementation Project Team	0	0	0	
	Route 132 West Project Study Report	1,030,181	1,030,181	0	
	Route 132 West Environmental Document	4,500,000	4,500,000	0	
	Route 132 West Safety Billboard	6,000	6,000	0	
	511 Billboard	5,000	5,000	0	
	Route 219 Project Management Consultant	10,083	10,083	0	
	Short-haul Intermodal Facilities Study	0	0	0	
	RTP Financial Plan Update	0	0	0	
	KernCOG	2,250	2,250	0	
	Temporary Help	10,000	10,000	0	
	Temporary Help Interwest Consultant Services	60,000	60,000	0	
	Regional Transportation Plan / EIR	400,000	400,000	0	
	Regional Travel Model Update	262,956	212,956	(50,000)	Reduce Travel Model Update Contract
	Traffic Modeling Support	10,000	20,000	10,000	Additional Traffic Modeling Support Requested
	Valleywide Air Quality Coordinator	21,000	21,000	0	
	Valleywide Air Quality Coordinator Oversight	8,100	8,100	0	
	Local Air Quality Assistance	20,000	20,000	0	
	Valleywide Air Quality Policy Services	0	0	0	
	Valley-wide Coordinator	0	0	0	
	TOTAL CONTRACTS	6,840,930	6,800,930	(40,000)	
	OPERATING TRANSFER OUT - SR 132 Infill	0	0	0	
	OVERHEAD RECAPTURE	257,002	257,002	0	
	BUDGET SUBTOTAL	9,130,567	9,096,427	(34,140)	
90000	CONTINGENCIES	50,000	50,000	0	
62400	EXIGENCIES	15,000	24,000	9,000	Reimbursed Moving Expenditures Paid from Exigencies
	TOTAL BUDGET	9,195,567	9,170,427	(25,140)	

**STANISLAUS COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
REVENUES FOR FY 2009/10
AMENDMENT #3**

Schedule #2

SOURCE	Amendment 2 Budget FY 09/10	Amendment 3 Budget FY 09/10	Change
Federal – FHWA PL	957,668	957,668	0
Federal – FHWA PL carryover	676,529	668,929	(7,600)
Federal – FTA Section 5303	189,546	189,546	0
Federal – FHWA SP&R	100,000	100,000	0
Federal – CMAQ (ridesharing)	165,000	165,000	0
Federal – Congressional Grant - Route 132 West Project	4,424,145	4,424,145	0
Total Federal	6,512,888	6,505,288	(7,600)
State – STIP	900,000	900,000	0
State – PPM	606,000	606,000	0
State – PPM Carryover	293,191	268,833	(24,358)
State – Blueprint Grant - MCAG	88,294	88,294	0
State – Blueprint Grant carryover - MCAG	41,929	41,929	0
Total State	1,929,414	1,905,056	(24,358)
Local – Abandoned Vehicle	5,000	5,000	0
Local – TDA Admin	140,000	140,000	0
Local – TDA Admin Reserve	25,166	76,784	51,618
Local – LTF Planning	450,000	399,201	(50,799)
Local – LTF Planning Reserve	1,789	0	(1,789)
Local – Interest	5,809	5,780	(29)
Local – Dues	20,000	20,000	0
Local – Non-StanCOG for Route 219 Project Mgmt Consultant	10,083	10,083	0
Local – Non-StanCOG LTF for Financial Plan for RTP	10,000	4,657	(5,343)
Miscellaneous Reimbursements	0	13,160	13,160
Local – Applied Reserves (contingencies/exigencies)	65,000	65,000	0
Local – Applied Reserves (Legislative Review)	10,190	10,190	0
Total Local	743,037	749,855	6,818
Subtotal - Current Year Revenue	9,185,339	9,160,199	(25,140)
Future recapture of unreimbursed overhead expenditures	0	0	0
Future recapture of unreimbursed capital expenditures	10,228	10,228	0
Subtotal - Non-Current Revenue	10,228	10,228	0
TOTAL REVENUES	9,195,567	9,170,427	(25,140)

APPENDIX II
OWP TABLE A - FUNDING SOURCES
AMENDMENT #3
FISCAL YEAR 2009/10

WORK ELEMENT TOTAL	Federal						State			Local				
	FTA Sec 5303	FHWA PL	FTA Sec 5304	CMAQ	DEMO		STIP	Blueprint MCAG	PPM	Abandoned Vehicle	LTF Admin	LTF Planning	Financial Plan	Other Local
METRO TRANSPORTATION PLANNING														
012 Public Involvement	15,000	88,824	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	51,563	0	0
021 Overall Work Program	15,000	135,374	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20,083	0	0
023 MPO Coordination	0	81,243	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21,927	0	0
113 Modeling & GIS Develop.	0	280,921	100,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40,898	0	0
300 Regional Trans. Plan (RTP)	0	353,526	0	0	0	0	0	405,142	0	0	0	43,662	0	0
321 Transit	120,693	106,194	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	46,146	0	0
330 Trans. Improvement Program	38,853	406,862	0	0	0	0	0	40,988	0	0	0	1,147	0	0
410 Air Quality Planning	0	79,002	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13,486	0	0
411 Congestion Mgmt. Program	0	94,621	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12,259	0	0
CONSOLIDATED REGIONAL PLANNING														
013 Abandoned Vehicle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,000	0	0	0	0
022 Trans. Development Act	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	216,784	0	0	0
024 Regional Blueprint Grant	0	0	0	0	0	0	130,223	0	0	0	0	57,354	0	0
026 Nonmotorized Master Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,619	0	0
027 Tribal Collaboration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,250	0	0
304 Trans. Financial Expend. Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,657	0
307 Highway J59 Concept Report	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,000	0	0
322 Trans. Demand Management	0	0	0	165,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,000	0	0
331 Reg. Proj. Planning/Monitoring	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22,022	0	0	0	27,163	0	0
332 STIP Project Delivery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,083
333 STIP Pilot Project (Rte 132 Infill)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43,248	0	0	0	24,893	0	0
334 STIP Project 132 West	0	0	0	0	4,424,145	0	900,000	297,332	0	0	0	8,076	0	0
335 STIP Nirth Cnty Corridor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31,805	0	0	0	7,675	0	0
413 Rte 99 Image Enhance. Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	189,546	1,626,567	100,000	165,000	4,424,145	900,000	130,223	874,833	5,000	216,784	399,201	4,657	10,083	0
Available - new	189,546	957,668	100,000	165,000	3,600,000	900,000	88,294	606,000	5,000	140,000	405,000	10,000	10,083	0
Carryover from previous years	286,922	1,558,140	0	0	824,145	0	41,929	318,800	0	76,784	0	0	0	0
Available - total	476,468	2,515,808	100,000	165,000	4,424,145	900,000	130,223	924,800	5,000	216,784	405,000	10,000	10,083	0
Balance	286922	889241	0	0	0	0	0	49967	0	0	5799	5343	0	0

City of Ceres • City of Hughson • City of Modesto • City of Newman • City of Oakdale • City of Patterson
City of Riverbank • City of Turlock • City of Waterford • County of Stanislaus

TO: Management and Finance Committee
Citizens Advisory Committee
Technical Advisory Committee **Staff Report**
Motion

THROUGH: Vince Harris, Executive Director *VH*

FROM: Carlos Yamzon, Senior Planner *CY*
Jaylen French, Associate Planner *JCF*

DATE: March 25, 2010

SUBJECT: Recommendation for Approval of a Proposition 84 Grant Application

Recommendation

By **Motion**, Recommend that the StanCOG Policy Board approve the Proposition 84 Grant Application.

Background

The Strategic Growth Council (Council) through Proposition 84 (Prop 84), the Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006 is funding a series of Sustainable Communities Planning Grants available to cities, counties, Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs), and Joint Powers Authorities (JPAs) or combination thereof.

Division 43 of the Public Resources Code, Chapter 9, Sustainable Communities and Climate Change Reduction, authorized the State Legislature to appropriate \$90 million for planning grants and planning incentives that reduce energy consumption, conserve water, improve air quality and water quality and provide other community benefits. Approximately \$60 million in State funds are available over three cycles beginning in Fiscal Year 2010/11 as part of this grant program.

The Council will fund proposals that fall within three focus areas. Focus Area #1: Local sustainable Planning (\$14M) is available to cities and counties. Focus Area #2: Regional SB 375 Plus (\$4M) is available to MPOs and Focus Area #3: Regional Planning Activities with Multiple Partners (\$2M) is available to all applicant types.

This grant program implements the vision of the Governor and Legislature to foster the development of sustainable communities throughout California. It is designed to help local governments meet the challenges of adopting land use plans and integrating strategies in order to transform communities and create long term prosperity. The primary goal of the grant is to develop and implement plans that reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

Discussion

StanCOG will apply for a grant under Focus Area #2: Regional SB 375 Plus to develop an Air Quality Modeling tool to measure GHG emissions for CEQA purposes. The model tool will be developed to easily evaluate the benefits of policies created under the SB 375 process, both by the agencies and by StanCOG. In addition, the intent is to make the tool readily accessible to the agencies via the internet or other method.

The intent of Focus Area #2 is to fund MPOs to collaborate with local agencies to implement SB 375 and/or AB 32 at the city or county level, within the context of the three E's of sustainable communities: healthy environment and economy and equitable access to regional resources and amenities. The outcome of these efforts should support successful Sustainable Community Strategies (SCS)—a new requirement of future Regional Transportation Plans (RTPs) prepared by the MPO (StanCOG)—that help meet the regional targets established by the California Air Resources Board (CARB).

In a joint effort between StanCOG and the planning director from each agency within the county, it has been determined that this is the best approach to not only address the requirements of the SB 375 and the SCS, but also to succeed in receiving a grant award. Over the last month, based on a request by the planning directors within the County, StanCOG has lead the effort to coordinate an action to submit a grant application. StanCOG has held two meetings with the Planning Directors to discuss the scope of a grant application, feeling that a coordinated effort would lead to the greatest chance of success.

In addition to the grant submitted by the MPO, it was also agreed during these grant coordination meetings, that a second grant would be submitted, lead by the County and assisted by the other agencies. This grant will seek funds to fund new General Plan Elements, CEQA guideline updates and Zoning Ordinance Updates to implement SB 375 at the local planning level.


In summary, two grant applications will be submitted by the Stanislaus County region, one lead by StanCOG, which could lead to the development of a countywide tool used to implement SB 375 and the second, lead by Stanislaus County in cooperation with the other agencies, to seek monies for each agency to update planning efforts at the local level to implement SB 375.


jcfrench@stancog.org

City of Ceres • City of Hughson • City of Modesto • City of Newman • City of Oakdale • City of Patterson
City of Riverbank • City of Turlock • City of Waterford • County of Stanislaus

TO: Management and Finance Committee
Citizens Advisory Committee
Technical Advisory Committee

Staff Report
Discussion

THROUGH: Vince Harris, Executive Director 

FROM: Vince Canales, Finance Director 

DATE: March 30, 2010

SUBJECT: Discussion Methodology used to Allocate Local Transportation Funds (LTF) for Street and Road Purposes

Background

Transportation Development Act (TDA) of 1972

In 1972, the State of California legislature passed the Transportation Development Act. This act created in each county a Local Transportation Fund (LTF). Revenues are derived from the 1/4 cent retail sales tax. In 1980, the State Transit Assistance (STA) Fund was created adding a second funding source to the TDA. TDA funds are primarily intended for transit. STA funds can only be used for transit. In Stanislaus County, LTF monies may be used for street and road purposes only after the transit needs, deemed reasonable to meet, have been funded.

Transit Cost Sharing Procedures February 18, 1998

On February 18, 1998, the StanCOG Policy Board adopted the Transit Cost Sharing Procedures. The intent of Transit Cost Sharing is to make the best use of TDA funds by allowing the funds to be pooled together. From this pool, transit is taken off-the-top. Any remaining LTF is available to the jurisdictions for street and road purposes according to a specified formula.

There are three steps identified in the Transit Cost Sharing Procedures:

1. STEP 1: Initial LTF and STA Apportionment. Estimates for LTF and STA are identified
2. STEP 2: Determine the LTF needed for Transit. The five transit operators prepare their budgets for the up-coming fiscal year. In doing so, each transit operator determines the amount of new LTF they will need to fund their transit operations. The Transit Cost Sharing Committee is convened (annually) and reviews the proposed transit claims of the

five operators. The Transit Cost Sharing Committee then makes their recommendations to the Policy Board to adopt the transit claims submitted.

3. STEP 3: Determine the remaining distribution of LTF, if any, for “Other” purposes. These “other” purposes have been primarily used for local roadway purposes.

This staff report is only concerned with step three of the process.

The following is taken from the Transit Cost Sharing Procedures Step 3:

Once the amount of LTF needed for transit is known, the transit cost sharing formula is run to determine how the remaining funds will be divided by jurisdiction. These individual amounts will then be apportioned to each agency by the SAAG Policy Board. Following the SAAG Policy Board approval of this Step 3, claims for “other” purposes may be prepared and submitted to SAAG for review and approval.

Consistent with past practice, SAAG staff assumes that these “other” funds will be used primarily or exclusively for local roadway purposes. However, they could also be used for any other eligible TDA purpose at the discretion of the local government in question.

The following details of Step 3 were specifically adopted by the SAAG Policy Board on October 11, 1995.

- **The 1994-95 “other” allocation is defined as the base year**
- **No jurisdiction will receive less than its base year “floor” funding amount, unless the total funds available for “other” declines.**
- **If the total funds available for “other” declines, funds will be reduced for each jurisdiction on a proportional basis, using the 1994-95 funding “floor” allocation percentages.**
- **If the total funds available for “other” increases beyond the base year amount of \$3,366,342, all funding “ceilings” will be recalculated based on population proportionate shares of the new total. All jurisdictions with funding “floors” higher than their (recalculated) funding “ceilings” will receive their base year funding “floor” amounts, but no more. All jurisdictions with funding “ceilings” higher than their “floors” will share in the increased revenues. Each share will be determined by that jurisdiction’s population proportionate share in the increased revenues, up to that jurisdiction’s funding “ceiling”. The California Department of Finance’s population projections will be used to determine the population of each jurisdiction. The most recent population percentage will be**